

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 3072
09/759,584	09/759,584 01/12/2001		Willem F. Brockaert	SEE 36525/US/N3	
23698	7590	06/03/2003			
		PROTECTION, I	EXAMINER		
2 RIGHTE P.O. BOX		AY	GUPTA, ANISH		
WILMINGTON, DE 19850-5458				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1654 DATE MAILED: 06/03/2003	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/759,584	BROEKAERT ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
•	Anish Gupta	1654					
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cov r she t with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute,  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. I the mailing date of this communication. ID (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
	· s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		rosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) 44-48 is/are pending in the applicatio	n.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) 44-48 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers  O) The specification is objected to by the Examiner							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CER 1.85(a)							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)	o phoney under do o.o.o. 33 120	, and, 0, 1≥1.					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					
S Patent and Trademark Office							

Application/Control Number: 09/759,584

Art Unit: 1654

## **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 44-46, drawn to a nucleic acid sequence that encodes the amino acid sequence SEQ. ID. 49, classified in class 536, subclass 23.1.
  - II. Claims 44 and 47-48, drawn to a nucleic acid sequence that encodes the amino acid sequence of SEQ. ID. 59, classified in class 536, subclass 23.1.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

The invention of Group I and Group II are patentably distinct from one another. The MPEP states that the "term "distinct" means that two or more subjects as disclosed are related, for example, as combination and part (subcombination) thereof, process and apparatus for its practice, process and product made, etc., but are capable of separate manufacture, use, or sale as claimed, AND ARE PATENTABLE (novel and unobvious) OVER EACH OTHER (though they may each be unpatentable because of the prior art)." Here, the nucleic acid sequences are structurally distinct from one another by virtue of having different sequences. Due to this structural distinction, the inventions of the two groups are patentably distinct. Further, the search of Group I, would not necessarily lead to the sequence of Group II. The MPEP states that a burdensome search includes situation where there is no relationship between species. "Where there is no disclosure of relationship between species (see MPEP § 806.04(b)), they are independent inventions and election of one invention following a requirement for restriction is mandatory even though applicant disagrees with the examiner." See MPEP 803 and 808.01(a). Here, due to the structural distinction, there species are not related to one another.

Application/Control Number: 09/759,584 Page 3

Art Unit: 1654

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anish Gupta whose telephone number is (703) 308-4001. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brenda Brumback, can normally be reached on (703)306-3220. The fax phone number of this group is (703) 308-4242.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Arrish Gupta

BRENDA BRUMBACK SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600